

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,882 07/14/2003		Shuuichi Nozaki	62807-132 3417		•
20277 7590 06/30/2004			EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			TREMBLAY, MARK STEPHEN		
	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				-	1

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/617,882	NOZAKI ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Mark Tremblay	2876				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will.		nely filed is will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	to and action and action					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[The path of declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2 10 1 2 10 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2							
A							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/2003. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/617,882

Art Unit: 2876

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Japan KOKAI Publication #11-219,466 (" '466" hereinafter) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication #2003/0007625 to Pines et al. ("Pines" hereinafter) '466 teaches a monitoring apparatus for an automated teller machine, comprising: a first call center for operating said automated teller machine via a line; and a monitoring apparatus for receiving run information or operation information of said automated teller machine, selecting said first call center, and transmitting the received run or operation information to the selected call center. '466 does not teach a second call center installed in an area which has a time different from an area where said first call center is installed. Pines et al. teaches that different call centers in different time zones may share duties throught the use of an automated routing system in order to gain employment and staffing advantages, as well as other advantages. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide '466 with a second call center in a different time zone as taught by Pines et al. together with automated routing in order to gain staffing and employment advantages, as taught by Pines. Pines also teaches that the software will advantageously route calls for language preferences, call loads and staffing resources, etc.

Art Unit: 2876

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent #6,742,141 and #5,590,188 are cited for showing other call routing systems.

Voice

Inquiries for the Examiner should be directed to Mark Tremblay at (571) 272-2408. The Examiner's regular office hours are 10:30 am to 7:00 pm EST Monday to Friday. Voice mail is available. If Applicant has trouble contacting the Examiner, the Supervisory Patent Examiner, Michael Lee, can be reached on (571) 272-2398. Technical questions and comments concerning PTO procedures may be directed to the Patent Assistance Center hotline at 1-800-786-9199 or (703) 308-4357.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

MARK TREMBLAY

June 28, 2004